

Council Assembly

Wednesday 10 July 2013

7.00 pm

Walworth Academy, 34 - 40 Shorncliffe Road, SE1 5UJ.

TABLED ITEMS

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
1.1.	Announcements from the mayor, members of the cabinet or chief executive	1 - 5
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Queen's Birthday Honours List 2013 – Recipients who live or work in Southwark• Announcement from the cabinet member for culture, leisure, sport and volunteering• Announcement from the cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy.	
5.1.	Members' question time	6 - 45
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Urgent question and response• Members questions with responses	
7.1.	Fairer Future Annual Performance Report 2012/13	46 - 52
	Questions on report with responses.	
8.1.	Gambling Act 2005 - Southwark Statement of Gambling Licensing Policy 2013 - 2016	53 - 54
	Question on report with response.	
8.3.	Constitutional Review 2013/14	55
	Question on report with response.	
9.	Amendments	56
	Revised Amendment F.	

Contact

Lesley John on 020 7525 7228 or 020 7525 7222 or email: lesley.john@southwark.gov.uk;
andrew.weir@southwark.gov.uk; constitutional.team@southwark.gov.uk

Webpage: <http://www.southwark.gov.uk>

Date: 10 July 2013

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY

(ORDINARY)

WEDNESDAY 10 JULY 2013

QUEEN'S BIRTHDAY HONOURS LIST 2013

Order of the Companions of Honour

Members of the Order of the Companions of Honour

Sir Nicholas SEROTA Director, Tate. For services to Art.

Order of the British Empire

Dames Commander of the Order of the British Empire

Janet, Mrs WOLFSON DE BOTTON, CBE Philanthropist. For charitable services to the Arts.

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY
(ORDINARY MEETING)
WEDNESDAY 10 JULY 2013
CABINET MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENT

Announcement from Councillor Veronica Ward, Cabinet Member for Culture, Leisure, Sport and Volunteering

London Youth Games

This weekend saw the finals of the London Youth Games. The organisers began to say this is Southwark's year half way through the day. They were right, and so I am very pleased to announce the fantastic news that "Team Southwark" made it to the top ten, finishing **9th** overall.

I would like to congratulate all our wonderful young people, their team managers and the Southwark Sports Development Team for their very hard work in getting our teams together to achieve this result.

Southwark Reach Top Ten at London Youth Games 2013

- Team Southwark made it into the top ten this year (out of 33), finishing **9th** overall
- Team Southwark have improved by 20 places over the last five years:
 - 2009 – 29th
 - 2010 – 19th
 - 2011 – 18th
 - 2012 – 15th
 - 2013 – 9th
- Team Southwark claimed 11 top three victories:
 - 1st place: girls' basketball, water polo and boys' table tennis
 - 2nd place: boys' cross country, wheelchair basketball
 - 3rd place: boys' disability football, BMX, boys' handball, cycling, boys' football, girls' hockey)
- We also achieved 19 top 10 finishes
- Entered **42** sports competitions across **26** sports
- Engaged **363** young people in actual competitions and over **1,000** in the London Youth Games experience
- Team Southwark entered some new sports this year, which included weightlifting, girls' judo and girls' table tennis.

Highlights over the finals weekend included retaining the girls' basketball title for the third year in a row by beating Haringey 35-33 on Saturday afternoon and winning the boys' table tennis competition for the first time in the borough's history.

Team Southwark 2013 Competition Results

Event	Position
Basketball (Female)	1
Table Tennis (Male)	1
Water Polo	1
Cross Country (Male)	2
Wheelchair Basketball	2
Disability Football (Male)	3
Cycling (BMX)	3
Football (Male)	3
Hockey (Female)	3
Cycling (Road)	3
Handball (Male)	3
Athletics (Female)	4
Squash (Male)	5
Basketball (Male)	5
Boys Cricket	5
Boccia (Main)	5
Table Tennis (Female)	7
Handball (Female)	7
Triathlon / Aquathlon	9
Indoor Rowing	10
Swimming (Male)	10
Diving	10
Hockey (Male)	12
Sailing	13
Swimming (Female)	13
Disability Athletics (Female)	14
Cross Country (Female)	15
Swimming (Mini)	15
Angling	16
Girls Tag Rugby	16
Football (Female)	17
Tennis	19
Weightlifting	20
Judo (Female)	20
Football (Mini)	20
Judo (Male)	22
Boccia (Mini)	22
Athletics (Male)	23
Girls Cricket	24
Team Badminton	24
Netball (Main Games)	25
Disability Athletics (Male)	28

London Youth Games 2013 Jubilee Trophy results

Current	Last year	Borough	Points
1	2	Wandsworth	1189
2	1	Croydon	1171
3	6	Bromley	1123
4	4	Richmond	1115
5	7	Bexley	1105
6	3	Enfield	1078
7	10	Havering	1034
8	17	Haringey	1014
9	15	Southwark	1002
10	13	Ealing	998
11	8	Hillingdon	996
12	9	Hackney	993
13	5	Redbridge	975
14	11	Sutton	958
15	13	Greenwich	956
16	16	Barnet	952
17	12	Lambeth	943
18	24	Waltham Forest	922
19	25	Tower Hamlets	912
--	23	Kensington and Chelsea	912
21	22	Hounslow	899
22	19	Lewisham	896
23	21	Newham	857
--	18	Kingston	857
25	20	Harrow	832
26	28	Islington	830
27	26	Camden	789
28	29	Hammersmith and Fulham	782
29	32	Merton	763
30	31	Brent	702
31	30	Westminster	679
32	27	Barking and Dagenham	305
33	33	City of London	248

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY
(ORDINARY MEETING)
WEDNESDAY 10 JULY 2013
CABINET MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENT

Statement from Councillor Fiona Colley, Cabinet member for regeneration & corporate strategy

Elephant & Castle Transport Infrastructure Announcements

This morning, significant steps forward in the £1.5bn regeneration of Elephant and Castle have been made as the Mayor of London and Southwark Council confirmed plans for transforming Elephant and Castle's public transport network and northern roundabout.

The plans agreed incorporate our long held aspirations for a new Northern Line ticket hall with escalators rather than lifts and a new peninsula to replace the northern roundabout.

The funding for the improvements is agreed with contributions from Southwark Council, Transport for London and also developers through the formula agreed in the Elephant & Castle supplementary planning document.

Designs are currently at the concept stage and we will be engaging with residents and stakeholders as more detailed proposals start to emerge. We will place a particular focus on ensuring that cycle safety and pedestrian accessibility are given the highest priority.

This is an exciting milestone in the regeneration of the Elephant & Castle and in stark contrast to the situation in 2010 when Transport for London were adamant that the roundabout could not be removed and that they would not be able to contribute a penny towards enhancing public transport capacity in the area. It is clear that the progress we have made with our development partner Lend Lease has convinced the Mayor to get on board to help deliver the regeneration of the Elephant.

I am confident that we are well on our way to realising our ambition to see Elephant and Castle transformed for future generations of all those who live, work and travel through the area.

SOUTHWARK COUNCIL**COUNCIL ASSEMBLY****(ORDINARY MEETING)****WEDNESDAY 10 JULY 2013****URGENT QUESTION****1. URGENT QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI**

Does the Leader welcome the commitment of Education Minister, David Laws MP, to new primary and secondary schools in Southwark? What has he personally done to ensure a new school is built on the Dulwich Hospital site?

RESPONSE

I welcome any interest that Ministers show in the provision of schools in Southwark. However, the Minister has not informed the Council of any new commitment and so I am asking officers to seek clarification from the department about whether or not there is any new commitment.

I am disappointed that we as a local authority are not in a position to build and open our own new schools. David Laws' and Michael Gove's obsession with free schools as the only solution to problems with school place provision is about their commitment to conservative ideology rather than what is in the best interests of children and the educational needs of boroughs like Southwark. While I recognise that free schools can be part of a solution, they should only be part of a solution, and I would encourage Liberal Democrat Councillors to use any influence they have with David Laws to enable Southwark to build and open our own schools.

There is an urgent need for an additional 420 primary places (or 14 forms of entry) by 2015. How we will respond to this is set out in the Council's primary investment programme which is coming to Cabinet next week. This is in addition to the 1080 additional places which we have already created between 2009 and 2013.

With limited capital funding allocated to Southwark from central government we are working creatively with popular and successful schools to enable them to expand, as well as actively sourcing opportunities for new schools. Tonight, I am pleased to announce that governors and staff at Ivydale Primary School in Peckham Rye have been working with officers to expand the school onto a new site, in an area where we know there is a shortage of high quality local places for local families. Brand new provision on the Breedinghurst site means that more children will have access to this popular community school.

In addition we will shortly come forward with plans to reopen the Old Bellenden School site as part of an expansion of an existing primary school. We are also working to find a suitable site for the Southwark Free School and are bringing the Galleywall School back into use once the Southwark Park School go back into their refurbished buildings.

Our forecasts are that with new secondary schools planned to open in the borough in September 2013 (Ark All Saints academy in Camberwell and Compass academy in

Rotherhithe) and in September 2014 (the University Engineering Academy South Bank in Walworth) there should be sufficient secondary places available in the short term. The forecasts show continuing demand for secondary places and suggest that in the medium term from 2016 additional secondary places may be needed in the borough. We will be keeping this under careful review including working with our existing secondary schools.

In terms of the Dulwich Hospital site, I have been regularly briefed and updated on the potential uses of the site and have given my full support to the recognition of the site as suitable for a school. The Dulwich SPD, due to be adopted by Cabinet next week, sets out that the use of part of this site for a school would help to meet the requirements of the planning brief and Cllr Fiona Colley and Stephen Platts, Director of Regeneration, have met with NHS Southwark Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to confirm our support for this use on the site.

Schools and school places remain critical for not just Southwark, but all of London. The best way to address this is to take an all of borough approach, ensuring that places are available in the right places and meet the needs of our growing population. Whilst the Liberal Democrats focus on one school on one site in one part of the borough, we are working hard to ensure that all children in the borough have access to the best schools. I am confident that Southwark has one of the most robust plans in place to deal with the future shortage of places to ensure that all children get access to a good local school.

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY
(ORDINARY MEETING)
WEDNESDAY 10 JULY
MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

1. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR JEFF HOOK

How many green spaces on council owned estates are currently being considered as building plots?

RESPONSE

The first phase of the council's plan to build 1,000 new council homes has identified nine sites for development, none of which are on green spaces on housing estates. No further sites have yet been agreed for later phases. There are no plans to dispose of any parcels of amenity land on housing estates, whether green space or otherwise, to third parties for development or any other purposes.

This administration has placed special emphasis on its green spaces. Not only have we invested £8 million revitalising Burgess Park, 14 of the borough's parks received the prestigious Green Flag award last year; a record for Southwark.

2. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR MICHAEL MITCHELL

Would the leader of the council confirm that the council in principle is not opposed to the opening of free schools in the borough?

RESPONSE

The council does not have any in principle objection to free schools. I am however concerned that to make free schools viable and to appear popular, the government has set lower standards for free schools than other schools.

My primary concern as leader of the council is the standard of education for children in this borough, and so I would want free schools to provide a good or outstanding education and work with the authority and other schools as part of the community.

Unlike Conservative and Liberal Democrat councillors in Southwark, we believe free schools are only part of the answer to a much broader challenge, namely, how do we meet rising demand for school places in our borough. Free schools can play a part, but our primary investment strategy, which is due to come to cabinet next week, will set out a range of measures that we are taking to create additional school places; including working with outstanding existing schools to design and run new schools in the borough.

3. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR MARK GLOVER

Will the leader join me in praising the work of the council's anti-fraud team after it won an award for its work tackling social housing fraud?

RESPONSE

I will, and I hope councillors from all parties will join me in congratulating the council's anti-fraud team for winning the prestigious Cliff Nicholson award for its relentless work on social housing fraud.

Everyone recognises the challenges that Southwark faces in terms of meeting the demand for affordable housing. While we are building 1,000 council homes over the next eight years, our fraud team's energetic approach to finding fraudulent tenants means that we can make sure that every council home in Southwark goes to someone who really needs it.

As London's largest social landlord, we set the ambitious target of recovering 300 properties in 2012/13. By the end of the financial year, we exceeded this target, freeing up 322 properties that could then be given to people with a genuine need. This was 17% of all houses recovered nationwide despite Southwark making up just 1% of the total housing stock.

I would also like to draw attention to the comments of Tim Crowley, chair of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy audit panel, who said: "The approach that has been developed by Southwark Council can be replicated elsewhere and this kind of innovation is a shining example of how the finance function can contribute to driving up standards despite public sector cuts."

4. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI

What estimates has the council made about the number of new properties built in Southwark being sold to foreign investors?

RESPONSE

Overall two thirds of all landlords own fewer than five properties. The council does not therefore consider that there is a large scale overseas investment issue. Regarding leaving homes vacant this is largely confined to very large value homes being kept as the occasional residence of extremely wealthy individuals. Most investors, either from home or abroad, rely on the income generating ability of their assets and therefore put them into the private rented sector to generate that income. The current government does not impose any restrictions on purchase of property be it commercial or residential by foreign investors.

Nonetheless, it is fair to estimate that within the prime residential sector represented in Southwark by the highest value developments along the river, sales to foreign investors make up a significant proportion. We are turning this situation to our advantage by using planning agreements with developers of a handful of the most valuable riverside sites to fund the delivery of 1,000 new council homes in Southwark over the next eight years – more than have been built in London in the last 10 years. These council homes will be built across the borough, from Long Lane to East Dulwich, and represent the biggest council house building programme of its kind in the country.

I know this is an issue that has been raised by her party colleague in parliament. I note that he made the claim that new properties at the Heygate were advertised to buyers in Asia first. This is not the case. The new homes at both One The Elephant and Trafalgar Place were launched in the UK and overseas

simultaneously, with the local community having first sight of the homes at specially arranged community events.

I would also remind her that the overriding reason why there is a shortage in new affordable housing, not just in Southwark but across the country, is because her government slashed the social housing budget by 60% back in 2010. A cut which Simon Hughes praised. It feels that this focus on foreign buyers is an attempt to hide that fact.

5. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR HELEN HAYES

What action is the council taking to meet demand for school places in the borough?

RESPONSE

The council has developed a detailed and robust strategy to meet the demand for places that we are experiencing and anticipate will increase in the coming years. This detailed strategy is to be considered by cabinet on 16 July 2013.

We have worked closely with our existing schools, diocesan authorities, neighbouring authorities, the Department for Education and free school proposers to draw together a strategy that can meet the need for places through the expansion of successful and popular schools, the delivery of new places at new schools delivered directly by the council and through free schools.

The first phase of this programme is funded and the existing and initial increased demand can be met through the delivery of new permanent capacity but it is essential that further funding is made available by the Department for Education if future phases are to be delivered to meet the ongoing need for places.

6. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID NOAKES

Can the leader provide an update on the council's progress against the four identified priorities of the Health and Wellbeing Board?

RESPONSE

1. Prevention and reduction of alcohol-related misuse

Championed by Professor John Moxham of King's Health Partners, this strand oversaw a refresh of Southwark's alcohol strategy. Resulting key partner actions included a roll-out of training on 'identification and brief advice', strengthened responses around the sale of alcohol and anti-social behaviour, and closer working between King's Health Partners, GPs and the local authority's drug and alcohol team as well as with housing and community safety services. Rates for hospital stays for alcohol-related harm for adults and under-18s are both better than the national average.

2. Coping skills, resilience and mental wellbeing

This strand focused on developing a mental wellbeing, coping and resilience strategy, and underpinning work programme, and was championed through the board by Dr Patrick Holden. Key actions over the past year include developing a personalised 'support planning' package alongside personal health budgets to help

people with continuing care needs to choose how best they can achieve their health goals, and testing '5 Ways to Wellbeing' in a number of schools via peer educators to improve understanding of mental health issues.

3. Early intervention and families

Championed by Romi Bowen, strategic director of children's and adults' services, this strand links directly to the borough's children and young people's plan, which is being updated currently. Activity in support of this strand includes the reconfigurations of a range of services including the local authority's early help locality teams, as well as the health visiting and school nursing services. As a result of joint action, performance in key maternal and early years' indicators is improving, for example with above national average levels for breastfeeding initiation, smoking in pregnancy, and early years' education outcomes.

4. Healthy weight and exercise

Following the refresh of the borough's healthy weight strategy in 2012, activity in this strand has focused on taking forward its recommendations. Led by the director of public health, actions include increased support to schools to promote a whole school approach to health and wellbeing, alongside the introduction of free healthy school meals; increased support to promote physical activity through the Change 4 Life clubs, and investment in early years' interventions, including activity in children's centres. As a result, although still high, obesity rates in reception-aged pupils have fallen over recent years from 14.7% in 2009/10 to 12.1% in 2011/12. Future activity will focus on older children, where performance remains significantly below national rates.

7. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR CLEO SOANES

Southwark now has the highest demand for food banks in London. The director of Pecan food bank in Peckham attributes this increase in demand to the government's benefit changes. Does the leader agree?

RESPONSE

I think it is a national disgrace that in the 21st century in one of the most affluent cities in the world some families are struggling to have access to food – a basic human right. I think it is reprehensible that a coalition government Minister, Lord Freud, has tried to claim that the increase in demand for food banks is because more of them existed.

I know anecdotally from Pecan that last year there were no referrals from Jobcentre Plus in the first two months of the year. This year for the same period there were 117 referrals (109 of these from Peckham alone) - all seeming to be due to delays in benefits. Their view is that the Jobcentre rather than dealing with the problem by, for example, making advances on benefits is just to refer people straight on to the food bank.

This is the harsh reality of this government's welfare reforms which Southwark Liberal Democrats and Simon Hughes have supported from day one.

8. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR GEOFFREY THORNTON

How much has been spent across the council departments on a) catering b) alcohol in each of last three years and specifically, how much was the total cost of the new mayor's reception this year?

RESPONSE

Council expenditure on catering and refreshments since 2007/8 is as follows:

2012/13	£586,000
2011/12	£750,000
2010/11	£913,000
2009/10	£1,179,000
2008/09	£1,765,000
2007/08	£2,765,000

The budget does not separate alcohol from other refreshment costs, but alcohol is restricted to a very limited number of events.

The table below shows the cost of catering for mayor making for each of the last seven years.

Municipal Year	Mayor	Political Group	Catering costs for Mayor Making exclusive of VAT	Notes
2007/2008	Bob Skelly	Liberal Democrat	£4,437.25	Ceremony held at St Giles Church in conjunction with Honorary Alderman Ceremony
2008/2009	Eliza Mann	Liberal Democrat	£2,637.88	Ceremony held at Town Hall - outside caterers Refreshments for all attendees at Ceremony
2009/2010	Jeff Hook	Liberal Democrat	£1,354.00	Outside caterers supplied refreshments for Past Mayors, Free Citizens & Hon Alderman only
2010/2011	Tayo Situ	Labour	£870.00	Election year - followed format for previous year
2011/2012	Lorraine Lauder	Labour	£2,217.00	First ceremony at Tooley Street - outside caterers supplied refreshments for all attending ceremony - no inhouse catering available at the

Municipal Year	Mayor	Political Group	Catering costs for Mayor Making exclusive of VAT	Notes
				time
2012/2013	Althea Smith	Labour	£1,440.00	Refreshments for all attendees at ceremony supplied by in house caterers
2013/2014	Abdul Mohamed	Labour	£1,444.50	Refreshments for all attendees at ceremony supplied by in house caterers

9. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR CLAIRE HICKSON

Is he surprised that it has taken Southwark Liberal Democrat councillors three years to publicly criticise Simon Hughes MP for a decision he has taken in parliament?

RESPONSE

Yes I am.

While I welcome Southwark Liberal Democrats' public criticism of Simon Hughes for his recent vote on marriage equality in the House of Commons, I am surprised that they have been silent on so many other issues where Simon Hughes has failed to stand up for people in the borough:

- Tax cuts for millionaires – reducing the top tax rate to 45p
- Increasing VAT to 20% costing hard working families an extra £450 a year
- Scrapping the education maintenance allowance
- Trebling tuition fees
- Scrapping of secure tenancies
- Introduction of “affordable” rent at up to 80% market rent
- 60% cut to social housing budget
- Letting developers wriggle out of section 106 affordable housing requirements
- David Cameron's NHS privatisation
- 20% cut to the police
- Cuts to legal aid
- The bedroom tax

These are decisions he has made which are having a very significant impact on people in this borough, and yet their local Liberal Democrat councillors say nothing.

Not to mention the fact neither Simon Hughes nor Liberal Democrat councillors have once challenged the government about the 28% cut this council has seen in its budget. This is not about party politics. This is about the government taking an axe to the vital services this council provides and which our residents depend on.

It is shameful that they have lacked the courage to stand up for Southwark residents and I believe it is something residents of this borough will not forget.

10. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR MICHAEL BUKOLA

What number of private sector homes does the council estimate to have a category one hazard? How many complaints and requests for assistance with private accommodation issues have been received in each of the last three years?

RESPONSE

The latest census data indicates there are 28,400 dwellings in the private rented sector (PRS). The most recent data available on the condition of the PRS in Southwark comes from the council's housing condition survey in 2008 which concluded 37% of dwellings had category 1 hazards. Therefore, assuming conditions have remained the same it can be estimated that there are over 10,400 dwellings in the PRS that have category 1 hazards

Below are the statistics for the number of complaints received for assistance with private sector accommodation.

Service requests over the past 6 years have increased by approximately 30%.

From	To	Service Requests
01/04/2013	30/06/2013	264
01/04/2012	31/03/2013	1302
01/04/2011	31/03/2012	1107
01/04/2010	31/03/2011	1040
01/04/2009	31/03/2010	1038
01/04/2008	31/03/2009	901
01/04/2007	31/03/2008	949

The level of service requests have been increasing for a number of reasons. There has been a significant increase in media interest in conditions in the PRS particularly with regard to "beds in sheds". This has led to more enquiries received from concerned neighbours informing the council of potentially seriously overcrowded dwellings.

We have also had two particularly cold winters which leads to an increase in housing related issues such as cold and dampness. The environmental health and trading standards team that regulate the private rented sector have also improved the links with other services that deliver services to private sector tenants and so internal referrals have increased.

I want people in Southwark to have a good place to live. In our council owned properties our priority was to make homes warm, dry and safe. Now, in the private rented sector I have appointed Councillor Mark Williams as deputy cabinet member to focus on driving up standards across the sector.

11. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR GAVIN EDWARDS

What are the latest employment levels in the borough?

RESPONSE

Overall in the last year, Southwark's employment figure has increased by 3.3% from 66.5% to 69.8% of the total working age population in employment. To put this into context, at the same time, our 'near neighbours' Lambeth and Lewisham saw small decreases in employment rates of 0.3% and 0.2% respectively. In pure numbers there has been a 7,400 increase in numbers of people in employment, an increase of 5.3% on the year before (against a change in the London average of +1.8%).

Because the London employment rate also increased over the last year, it is important to look at the extra growth in employment for Southwark's residents versus London's. Southwark's employment numbers increased by 5.3% versus a London average of 1.8% i.e. an additional 3.5%. In numbers this equates to an extra 4,900 people into work above the number we would have seen if Southwark's employment were growing at the same rate as London's.

When totalling up over the last year so far our programmes found jobs for some 650 people through initiatives such as the youth fund and other employment support.

This is obviously fantastic news for the borough and shows the difference that can be made by working proactively to boost jobs and growth. Whereas the government's flagship jobs programme, the work programme, has floundered and failed to deliver, Southwark's economic development team has done a fantastic job in making sure Southwark residents benefit from the opportunities that come from being in the heart of London.

I think it is also worth noting that this administration's determination to get moving on major regeneration projects in the borough after eight years of dither and delay has also had a really positive impact on the local employment market.

12. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR JONATHAN MITCHELL

How many council homes do the council plan to build in each year between now and 2020?

RESPONSE

The current projections for completion of new homes up to 2020 are as follows:

2013/14	12
2014/15	11
2015/16	200
2016/17	100
2017/18	350
2018/19	200
2019/20	150
Total:	1,023

The 2013/14 and 2014/15 projected completions are hidden homes that are either on site or are being worked up for development. To achieve the completions in 2015/16 we will be starting construction of the 200 homes in the current financial year.

The additions to the housing stock through new build for the preceding years are as follows:

2012/13	16
2011/12	3
2010/11	7
2009/10	0
2008/09	0
2007/08	1
2006/07	0
2005/06	12

13. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR DAN GARFIELD

What does the leader think will be the impact of the Conservative/Liberal Democrat's latest spending review on Southwark?

RESPONSE

This latest round of cuts from the Tories and Liberal Democrats are likely to add to the massive budget pressures the council is already facing. Taking into account the £90 million cut Southwark has already faced from this government, we now have to plug a further £23 million gap for 2014/15. It is no wonder that local authorities of all parties are telling Eric Pickles that enough is enough.

It will mean more difficult decisions in the year ahead, it will mean an increased use of reserves and balances but most importantly it will mean vital services which people in this borough depend on being cut while more affluent places face a much smaller cut.

People should remember that since 2010 Southwark has had the equivalent cut of £249 for every man, woman and child in the borough compared to £15 in Epsom and Ewell.

People should remember that we were told these cuts were a necessary tonic to eliminate the deficit by 2015 and boost the UK economy. This has not proven to be the case.

People should remember Southwark Liberal Democrats calling for us to use up the council's reserves in 2011 and to stop inventing "future cuts".

And people should remember that despite the difficult decisions we have had to make, this administration has delivered on its promises, is building more council homes, is keeping libraries and leisure centres open and is creating a fairer future for all in Southwark.

14. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT AND RECYCLING FROM COUNCILLOR NORMA GIBBES (CAMBERWELL COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

Please will the council support the campaign to improve the 343 bus route and lobby Transport for London to improve services on that route?

RESPONSE

We are maintaining pressure on Transport for London (TfL) to provide a better service for local people that use the 343 route and have called on the London Mayor and TfL to improve provision, especially along Southampton Way, Wells Way and through the Aylesbury Estate.

We have regularly lobbied TfL for improvements to the 343 service, including on at least nine occasions since the beginning of 2011.

Through the biannual review of bus service provision in which TfL advertise those services which are due for a contract renewal. Local authorities are given the opportunity to comment on these services, but are also invited to make representations on any other service, as they see fit. On each occasion, the council have requested a review and improvements to the 343 service.

The council have the following concerns:

- This service links New Cross with London Bridge passing through Peckham, north east Camberwell, the Aylesbury estate and Elephant and Castle, providing these areas with a link to London Bridge and opportunities to interchange at Peckham and Elephant and Castle. It is these communities that would have particularly benefited from the cross river tram.
- The low levels of public transport access within the Aylesbury and North Peckham Estates, which feature as areas of high deprivation.
- Overcrowding: It has been noted that increased overcrowding is a particular problem during school closing hours as there are four schools on the route. The increased level of service during the Olympic Games made a significant difference to the crowding levels.
- The 343, which has both reliability and capacity problems, regularly passes stops, at peak hours, in the Southampton Way/Wells Way/Albany Road/Thurlow Street portion of the journey without taking on passengers resulting in considerable waiting times. At other times, even well into the evening, gaps of up to 30 minutes can occur between buses.
- Concern has also been expressed over the speed of the bus, particularly in the evening. It should be ensured that sufficient time is allowed within the timetable.

The local campaign is gaining much headway with 926 signatures in support of the need for improvements to the service collected so far. At a public meeting earlier this year, commuters and key stakeholders also had the opportunity to highlight their concerns. At this meeting a senior TfL officer gave a commitment from TfL to respond to the problem areas along the route (they have undertaken to update the Camberwell, Peckham and Walworth community in the autumn on progress made).

Changes to frequency will contribute to reducing overcrowding and will lead to a more efficient service that residents can rely upon. In addition, there needs to be direct, modern and efficient transport links to the places where residents need to travel to and from, the north and south of the borough and central London.

A six part TV documentary showcasing the public meeting is currently featuring on BBC2, helping to highlight the importance of improvements needed on the route and raising awareness of this local issue.

In recognition of the importance of this issue, the GLA transport committee have undertaken to make a site visit to the area to see the problem for themselves and for it to inform their scrutiny report into bus services in the capital.

15. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, RESOURCES AND COMMUNITY SAFETY FROM COUNCILLOR ROBIN CROOKSHANK HILTON (DULWICH COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

Would the cabinet member for finance, resources and community safety confirm that a substantial part of the recent £750,000 capital allocation to support policing and community safety will remain available to the Dulwich area, to ensure resources, in the event that the new policing model for the south-west cluster is shown by the autumn review to require additional premises expenditure?

RESPONSE

Yes.

The Mayor of London's new policing plan, resulting from the 20 percent cut in police funding made by the coalition government, will have a detrimental impact on Southwark: the closure of two police stations, the reduction of hours as two more, the loss of the successful ward-based safer neighbourhood teams which are being replaced by multi-ward cluster teams, and the net loss of 14 percent in police numbers from this borough since 2010.

We are committed to ensuring that our communities as well as those that are victims of crime have the ability to access police and victim support when in need of it. The council's decision to secure a capital allocation of £750,000 funding for the community safety programme is intended to provide resources to ensure that there are contact points and neighbourhood policing teams in each part of the borough, along with broader initiatives.

From this allocation, we have identified £100,000 specifically to provide solutions in Dulwich arising from the closure of East Dulwich police station. This could include a neighbourhood policing base but it is for the Metropolitan Police Service to determine what form this might take and ensure that this money is spent in ways that meet their own operational requirements, within the constraints of the new multi-ward cluster model.

The police in Southwark are not currently convinced that a neighbourhood policing base is required in Dulwich. Their south west cluster is operating out of Camberwell police station.

As Dulwich councillors will be aware, I met with representatives of the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) and Southwark police on 17 May 2013 to discuss this issue further. Given the strength of local concern, a review on how successful the new cluster arrangements have been operating in Dulwich will take place in December, six months after implementation.

We are therefore holding back the £100,000 identified for solutions in Dulwich until that review takes place. MOPAC have also offered match-funding.

During this initial six month period, Scotland Yard's property services department will undertake a feasibility study of the Dulwich Hospital Gatehouse proposal to assess whether the use of that building for a patrol base or patrol dropping-off point offers good value for money in case the review identifies that such a facility is required.

In the interim, the council has used other funding from the £750,000 to establish a contact point for both the police and victim support at Dulwich Library. The police contact point would move to the Gatehouse if a base/dropping off point is established there.

16. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR MARTIN SEATON (BOROUGH, BANKSIDE AND WALWORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

What actions are being taken by Southwark council to address the very considerable impacts on local residents that will arise from the proposed Northern Line extension, and the shaft which is being constructed in Kennington Park, and with specific regard to:

1. The impact on Kennington tube station
2. The structural, planning, and parking control issues arising from the construction process
3. The impact of the construction process on the very important green space of Kennington Park?

RESPONSE

The cabinet member for transport, environment and recycling, Councillor Hargrove, made a representation to the Secretary of State for Transport, outlining the council's support in principle but detailing a number of concerns regarding the impacts on Southwark residents. These include:

1. We have seen evidence to indicate that the proposed cross-passages at Kennington Station are sufficient to allow the station to operate without increased congestion arising from the extension, but we have requested that the cross-passages are introduced before the extension is opened. We have also asked that works to address ticket hall congestion, largely unrelated to the extension, be brought forward.
2. Modelling has shown that ground movement, and hence the structural impact on properties, is likely to be minimal but will be monitored and addressed if necessary by TfL under obligations set out in their Transport and Works Act Order submission. All planning matters are being resolved satisfactorily. The transport assessment has shown that the impact on residents' parking will be minimal.
3. The construction necessarily takes part of the park on a temporary basis. I am persuaded that this is the only realistic construction option, and that the

temporary relocation of facilities (the dog-walking area and bee-keeping project) is appropriate.

17. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITIES AND ECONOMIC WELLBEING FROM COUNCILLOR CLEO SOANES (PECKHAM AND NUNHEAD COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

What is the council doing about general improvements in the Peckham town centre area including Rye Lane and Peckham High Street, in particular shop fronts, and what steps are being taken to attract different retailers in this area, due to the number of empty retail shops? Please confirm whether there is a lottery grant available to carry out these improvements?

RESPONSE

The council has listened to the concerns of residents and local businesses to improve Peckham town centre. The Peckham and Nunhead area action plan (AAP) incorporates these views, and was submitted to the Secretary of State for the Examination on Public (EIG) to be held at the end of July.

The draft AAP sets out policies for Peckham town centre with the aim of making a major town centre a magnet for retail growth. The key aims are to:

- Enable the provision of additional retail floor space. We have identified capacity for approx 8,000 sqm (net) of additional retail floor space in Peckham town centre to mostly be accommodated on two development proposal sites, the Aylesbury shopping centre and the Copeland Road Industrial Park. There are also smaller amounts at 1-27 Bournemouth Road.
- Regenerate the area around Peckham Rye railway station. There is scope to create new and enhanced retail and business provision on this site.
- Improve the public realm and create space for new markets and street trading and encourage a mix of complementary arts, culture, leisure and entertainment in Peckham town centre which will help to contribute to supporting a lively and vibrant centre.

The council is currently in the process of preparing a stage 2 bid for the Peckham townscape heritage initiative (THI) to the Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) for up to £1.675 million. This is subsequent to a stage 1 pass received by the council in November 2011 and an award of £50,000 for the development of the scheme.

The Peckham THI area includes Rye Lane, south of the railway station, the junction with Rye Lane and Peckham High Street and the junction with Peckham Hill Street with Peckham High Street.

If the council is successful with the Peckham THI it will allow some property owners and leaseholders to receive grant funding as well as:

- The restoration of shop fronts, doors, windows, pilasters, cornices, etc
- Cost of eligible repair works such as brickwork cleaning and repairs, mouldings, cornices and parapets.

The team has been working collaboratively with a range of stakeholders including local community groups, the business community, property owners and designated council officers to develop the application in time for the deadline submission in January-February of 2014. The project has a five year implementation period and is projected to commence in June-July 2014 pending a successful stage 2 bid.

In addition, the council has invested £100,000 from the community restoration fund to support the development of business-led improvements to Peckham town centre through a town team model.

In line with the council's economic wellbeing strategy the Peckham town team involves businesses and community groups directly in decisions on local improvements while enabling the voice of local businesses to be heard in influencing council service delivery that affects them. Monthly meetings have resulted in:

- Deep cleaning along Rye Lane: this has shown noticeable improvements along Rye Lane and has also brought the businesses together to get involved in all stages of the process, from selecting and commissioning a provider to providing feedback to the contractor during a 'walk around'.
- Improved parking measures including working with the council's public realm team to develop ideas for new signs to inform people about free parking at the weekends.
- Consumer research study: The town team have commissioned a street survey of visitors in Peckham town centre from Thursday 11 July 2013 to Saturday 13 July 2013, returning for further interviews the following week. They aim to conduct 300 interviews using a questionnaire agreed by the town team. The objectives of the survey are to:
 - Profile visitors currently found in Peckham town centre
 - Benchmark their current behaviour as shoppers and leisure users
 - Identify what visitors wish for to enhance their experience in Peckham.
- Footfall cameras: developing proposals to install footfall cameras – on hold pending longer term funding.
- Map: Peckham Vision have developed proposals for a map of Peckham and Rye Lane promoting local shops and activities, the final designs will be presented to the Town Team for approval.
- Pop-up shop: Positive discussions underway with a local landlord about utilising a large commercial unit on the ground floor to host a series of pop-up shops prior to new tenants moving in.

LSE Cities has been reporting to the council on its in depth research into the economy of Rye Lane as an example of an 'ordinary street' in a town centre. Their research found that the many and varied uses and occupiers in Rye Lane bring strength, vitality and resilience to its economy, demonstrated by a strong commercial rental market and high occupancy rates. The capacity of Rye Lane to host such a dense and varied mix of business, cultural and community uses that draw people to the street is its key strength, along with its surrounding residential

density. According to the GLA's High Street London report, Peckham town centre has 2,100 businesses and 13,400 employees.

18. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CULTURE, LEISURE, SPORT AND VOLUNTEERING FROM COUNCILLOR PAUL NOBLET

What priority is the cabinet member giving to ensure that Fisher FC can return to the Surrey Docks Stadium?

RESPONSE

I have met with Fisher FC representatives and directed officers to try and secure a return of the new Fisher FC to their former area. However, the council is not able to assist Fisher FC to return to Surrey Docks stadium as the council sold its freehold interest in the site to the directors of Fisher Athletic in 2006.

£1 million of the agreed receipt was to be spent on improvements to the stadium facilities. This never happened and the stadium was abandoned.

The Surrey Docks stadium is being sold by the administrators and council officers have been working with the proposed purchasers to get back the metropolitan open land former football pitch into council ownership as a public park, whilst re-providing a football facility with a new 3G pitch for the use of Fisher FC and the general public on the St Pauls site on Salter Rd.

At the Fisher AGM last month Fisher FC members, including Simon Hughes MP, agreed to support the St Pauls ground proposals. Club officials thanked officers for their efforts in trying to bring this about.

Negotiations are still ongoing with the developers and if this is successfully concluded a report will be brought to cabinet and the developers will make a single planning application to cover both sites.

19. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CULTURE, LEISURE, SPORT AND VOLUNTEERING FROM COUNCILLOR GRAHAM NEALE

How many leisure centre visits for swimming and other water-based activities were made to council run facilities between September 2011 and February 2012? How many were made between September 2012 and February 2013?

RESPONSE

The council has swimming pools at Peckham Pulse, Camberwell leisure centre, Seven Islands and Dulwich. Surrey Docks Water Sports Centre (SDWSC) also has an outdoor water based activity programme.

September 2011 - February 2012

Total wet side visits 206,549 - broken down by:

- 196,946 wet side visits (all swimming) at the four sites with pools
- 9,603 wet side visits for sailing at SDWSC.

September 2012 - February 2013

Total wet side visits 196,238 - broken down by:

- 186,290 wet side visits (all swimming) at four sites with pools
- 9,948 wet side visits for sailing at SDWSC.

Wetside visits at the leisure centres with swimming pools dropped by 5% between the two periods. This was indicative of a downward trend nationally shown for swimming as demonstrated by Sport England's active people survey over recent years. This is in stark contrast to the overall picture of increased general participation across all Southwark leisure centre activities.

For the period September 2011 to February 2012 total leisure centre participation was 636,129 of which Elephant and Castle leisure centre accounted for 127,040.

For the same period the following year, September 2012 to February 2013, participation was 603,705. However, Elephant and Castle leisure centre was closed during this period. Like-for-like comparison of general leisure centre usage across all sites that were open for both periods shows an increase in participation of 94,616 or 18.6% (509,089 to 603,705).

This supports research undertaken since the Olympics that shows that nationally, people are taking up new and more sports. Whilst this may have had a slight negative impact on wetside activities, it has had a far greater positive impact on general participation levels at our leisure facilities overall.

20. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CULTURE, LEISURE, SPORT AND VOLUNTEERING FROM COUNCILLOR ADELE MORRIS

What role has the cabinet member played in securing Section 106 money for leisure facilities at the Elephant and Castle?

RESPONSE

It was agreed by cabinet in July 2010 to adopt the site of the current leisure centre as the preferred location for new leisure facilities at Elephant & Castle. Cabinet also agreed that receipts generated from the sale of the residential component would be reinvested to deliver the leisure facility. Subsequently in August 2011 the cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy agreed a consultation plan to establish what leisure facilities residents wanted.

The consultation was successful. 1,316 responses were received - a 16% response rate compared to an anticipated rate of just 5%. It clearly demonstrated as we had expected that what people really want was a new swimming pool. The next most popular facilities were a gym, exercise studio and sports hall.

In July 2011 we agreed to dispose of the front part of the site to Lend Lease. All the monies for the capital receipt will go towards the costs of the scheme.

The planning authority carried out an independent appraisal of the Lend Lease scheme which concluded that their residential scheme could sustain a £3.5 million affordable housing contribution but that in this specific case the need to provide a new leisure facility to support the regeneration of the area justified a departure from normal policy and that therefore this Section 106 sum should be put towards the provision of the new leisure facility.

21. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CULTURE, LEISURE, SPORT AND VOLUNTEERING FROM COUNCILLOR CATHERINE BOWMAN

What objects belonging jointly to the South London Gallery and to the council art collection were being housed at the Cuming museum and/or adjacent buildings at the time of the fire?

RESPONSE

Since 2003 the Cuming Museum has managed Southwark's art collection from the South London Gallery.

At the time of the fire the museum's temporary exhibition gallery housed the Birds, Beasts and Beyond ceramics exhibition which displayed 81 pieces of Martinware (and ceramics by other artists) together with four related framed works.

Within the museum's History of Southwark gallery there were four framed works by artist and painter Austin Osman Spare. The rest of the art collection is housed in secure storage.

22. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CULTURE, LEISURE, SPORT AND VOLUNTEERING FROM COUNCILLOR ALTHEA SMITH

Can she outline plans for events in Southwark for summer 2013?

RESPONSE

There is lots going on this summer in Southwark. I would encourage members to check out this summer's Southwark Life which has a section on events, and to keep up to date through our website. Some particular events to highlight include:

David's Peace Day, by David Idowu Foundation

Tabard Gardens

Saturday 13 July 2013 10am to 6pm

This is a peace event in the memory of David Idowu and all the victims of violent crime. The main concept of this event is to create awareness of knife and gun crime to the general public and how it can affect lives. A dance troupe of young people is performing.

Park-to-Park Ride, by Parpose Projects / Southwark Council

Wednesday 17 July 2013, 9am to 3pm

A circular cycle ride for school pupils starting and ending in Burgess Park, with pick up points for pupils in Dulwich Park and Peckham Park, culminating in a picnic in Burgess Park at lunch time.

Rotherhithe Festival, by Rotherhithe Festival Group

King George's Field

Saturday 27 July 2013, 11am to 9:45pm

One of Southwark Council's north of the borough events. It is a community event with face painting, a bouncy castle and live music in a stage, with a variety of arts, charity food and information stalls.

Biped's Monitor, by Arbonauts

Nunhead Cemetery

Wednesday 31 July to Sunday 4 August 2013, 8:30pm to 10pm

Biped's Monitor is a site specific, immersive performance taking place in the avenues, trees and chapel of Nunhead Cemetery at dusk. Biped's Monitor brings opera, installation and performance art to this magnificent cemetery.

Love Parks Week, by Friends of Nursery Row Park

Nursery Row Park

Sunday 4 August, 12:30pm to 4:30pm

A community event in the park, organised by the friends of Nursery Row Park with farm animals provided by Surrey Docks Mobile Farm, games, face painting and the chance to meet the animals.

The African Market Day, by The African Market Day

Goose Green

Saturday 17 August 2013, 12 to 9pm

Free community run event that provides an opportunity for ethnic minority business from the African Caribbean community to showcase their goods and services, alongside cultural activities such as drumming, storytelling and African dance.

The Elephant and the Nun, by Southwark Council

Peckham Rye Common, St Marys Churchyard, Camberwell Green and

Peckham Square

Saturday 17 August 2013,

Celebrating the heart of Southwark from the Elephant and Castle all the way down to Nunhead. There will be four community events with live music, comedy, dance, mini outdoor cinema, fairground sideshows, food, arts and crafts, and storytelling.

Project BISCEY, Careers in the Park, by Project BISCEY

Burgess Park

Wednesday 21 August 2013, 12 to 6pm

An afternoon of career driven presentations and information stalls in an entertaining format or at least in a comfortable enjoyable setting. There will be a whole range of different career type representatives on the day: vocational courses/work, full time work, university, entrepreneurship, college, apprenticeships and consultancy services like CV surgery and career advice.

The Luna Cinema in Dulwich Park, by The Luna Cinema Ltd

Dulwich Park

Monday 26 August 2013, 7pm to 10pm

Open air cinema in Dulwich Park, screening Pretty Woman.

Free Film Festivals, by Free Film Festival

Peckham Rye Common

Friday 6 & Saturday 7 September 2013, 8 to 10:30pm

Friday 6 Sept – Free screening of a silent classic film with live soundtrack played by composer Neil Brand.

Saturday 7 Sept – Free bike-powered screening of a family-friendly film to be confirmed.

Peckham Rye Fete, by Friends of Peckham Rye Park

Peckham Rye Common

Saturday 7 September 2013, 12 to 5pm

Small scale traditional community fete with dog show, children's games, fancy dress parade, Punch and Judy show, tea tent, Pimms, beer and cider tent, and stalls including local traders and local charities.

Midsummer Festival, by Friends of Brimington Park
Brimington Park
Saturday 7 September 2013, 12 to 7pm

A community festival celebrating the good things about living in East Peckham, This includes local acts including singing, exhibitions, dancing, drumming, stalls and sports activities.

23. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CULTURE, LEISURE, SPORT AND VOLUNTEERING FROM COUNCILLOR LORRAINE LAUDER

As we approach the one year anniversary of the London 2012 Olympics, what work has the council undertaken to ensure Southwark has a lasting Olympic legacy?

RESPONSE

The Olympic legacy is very much alive in Southwark and the Olympic legacy board aims to ensure that Southwark has an enduring legacy from 2012.

The board's prime objectives will ensure a legacy in terms of greater participation in sport and physical activity coupled with improved facilities being made available to our community. Recent figures demonstrate increased participation.

Between April 2012 and April 2013, which includes the Olympic period, the number of Southwark people performing 1 x 30 min of sport and active recreation per week rose against the same period last year from 36.5% to 37.9% according to Sport England's active people survey. Southwark is still one of only three London boroughs to increase participation since the measurement started in October 2005.

This exceeds both the London (currently at 36.0%) and the national average (35.2%) and means that over 4,000 additional Southwark adults are doing at least 1 x 30 mins of activity every week since the London 2012 Olympic Games finished. Our commitment to investing in improved facilities together with work delivered by the community sports service is helping to encourage more people to take up exercise.

The council's capital legacy fund provided £2 million of funding to improve a range of facilities across the borough. The facilities benefitting from this programme are:

- BMX track for Burgess Park - £150,000
- Bethwin Road playground - £95,000
- Camberwell Leisure Centre sports hall - £490,000
- Herne Hill velodrome - £400,000
- Sports ground development in Homestall Road - £175,000
- Peckham Town Football Club - £85,000
- Peckham Pulse disability pool hoist - £5,600
- Peckham Rye - £200,000
- Southwark Park athletics track - £370,000
- Trinity outdoor sports area in Camberwell - £30,000

Several of these projects are either now completed or near completion. Most are scheduled to be delivered by the end of the financial year. They are providing and will provide lasting benefits to communities right across our borough for years to

come. The funding allocated to these projects has also successfully brought in a further £1 million of external funding.

In addition to the sporting legacy, the council has built on the spirit of the games through its work on volunteering. The council strategy for volunteering is now being implemented, as is the volunteer passport, a joint project between Southwark and Lambeth designed to increase volunteering in the cultural sector amongst people from black and minority ethnic communities.

24. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CULTURE, LEISURE, SPORT AND VOLUNTEERING FROM COUNCILLOR NORMA GIBBES

Given their great achievements in recent years, can the cabinet member provide an update on the performance of Southwark's young people at this year's London Youth Games?

RESPONSE

This weekend saw the finals of the London Youth Games. The organisers began to say this is Southwark's year half way through the day. They were right, and so I am very pleased to announce the fantastic news that "Team Southwark" made it to the top ten, finishing 9th overall.

Team Southwark has been improving year on year from 29th in 2009, to 15th last year and this year breaking into the top ten.

- Team Southwark claimed 11 top three victories (1st place: girls' basketball, water polo and boys' table tennis, 2nd place: boys' cross country, wheelchair basketball, 3rd place: boys' disability football, BMX, boys' handball, cycling, boys' football, girls' hockey)
- Entered 42 sports competitions across 26 sports
- Entered some new sports this year, which included weightlifting, girls judo and girls table tennis
- Achieved 19 top 10 finishes
- Engaged 363 young people in actual competitions and over 1,000 in the London Youth Games experience.

Congratulations to all our wonderful young people, their team managers and the Southwark sports development team for their very hard work in getting our teams together to achieve this result.

25. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CULTURE, LEISURE, SPORT AND VOLUNTEERING FROM COUNCILLOR MARTIN SEATON

How will the Cuming Museum continue to carry out its exhibition and educational work while Walworth Town Hall is closed for the foreseeable future?

RESPONSE

The fire was a terrible blow to all those involved with work in the museum. It has been a priority to continue its events and outreach programme, which is being delivered from InSpire at St Peter's Church in Liverpool Grove.

The 2013 summer programme includes family events during the school holidays, arts and crafts workshops for adults and a regular Thursday morning event for under 5s. All events are free and no booking is required. Details are available on the council's website.

The council is currently identifying other premises to house a temporary museum space whilst the plans for the town hall's redevelopment are progressed.

26. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH, ADULT SOCIAL CARE AND EQUALITIES FROM COUNCILLOR RENATA HAMVAS

What action has the council taken to deliver on its promise of cutting the cost of meals on wheels?

RESPONSE

We are on track to halve the price people pay for meals on wheels by May 2014, compared to the price people paid when we came into office in May 2010.

We have already reduced the price by over a third, and a further reduction to 50% will take effect by May 2014, in line with our promise.

This is a very important policy, ensuring vulnerable people get hot nutritious meals every day. It is even more important at the moment, given the large increase in the cost of living due to this government's failed economic policies.

27. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH, ADULT SOCIAL CARE AND EQUALITIES FROM COUNCILLOR REBECCA LURY

What is her reaction to the latest available data from Guy's and St Thomas' which shows that the number of people in accident and emergency being seen within four hours has fallen to 94.38%, below the previous Labour government's target of 98% and below even the Tory/Liberal Democrat government's downgraded target of 95%?

RESPONSE

It is completely unacceptable that Southwark residents are having to wait longer to be seen in accident and emergency (A&E).

A&E waiting times in England are at a 9 year high – the number of people waiting over four hours has more than doubled since this government came into office, including waiting in ambulance queues outside A&Es.

The government is taking resources away from the front-line to spend £3 billion on an unnecessary and unwanted top-down reorganisation of the NHS; 4,000 nursing posts have been lost since May 2010; and the government has watered down waiting time targets.

It is clear that this government is not putting patients first.

28. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH, ADULT SOCIAL CARE AND EQUALITIES FROM COUNCILLOR MICHAEL SITU

What progress has the cabinet member made towards her commitment to create a new dedicated telephone line for people needing social care advice?

RESPONSE

We brought in a single phone helpline, where residents can get social care advice from experts, last year - in line with our promise. It has already successfully helped over 20,000 callers.

The single phone number (020 7525 3324) simplified services by replacing over 20 previous phone numbers.

The number is staffed by the council's team of social care experts who are able to offer immediate advice and support for over 90% of the calls first time, or are able to ensure the request is put to the right team who can call back as quickly as possible.

Callers can use the number to ask for help with a wide range of things, from requests for hand rails to reporting financial or physical abuse.

People can also go online for a free guide to the council's adult social care services and a range of other services in the community at:
www.southwark.gov.uk/mysupportchoices

The new helpline number is for calls relating to adult social care services only and residents requiring other council enquiries can use the main customer services number on 020 7525 5000 or email csc@southwark.gov.uk

29. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH, ADULT SOCIAL CARE AND EQUALITIES FROM COUNCILLOR CHRIS BROWN

The cabinet member has recently taken on responsibility for public health. Can she comment on the level of public health funding for Southwark?

RESPONSE

Responsibility for public health transferred from the NHS to local government on 1 April 2013. For 2013/14 Southwark will receive a ring fenced grant for public health to meet the cost of these new responsibilities of £21.809 million. However, it is unclear whether the resources allocated to fund these new responsibilities will be sufficient to meet the costs. Close revenue monitoring during 2013/14 will seek to identify any unfunded pressures.

Government data shows that Southwark receives less public health funding per head of population than boroughs that the government says have far lower health needs, like Kensington & Chelsea. This is disgraceful.

30. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH, ADULT SOCIAL CARE AND EQUALITIES FROM COUNCILLOR LINDA MANCHESTER

How many adapted council properties are currently inhabited by persons without disabilities? What measures is the council taking to ensure that when adapted properties become available that persons with disabilities are given priority? How

many adapted council properties have been authorised to be made 'un-adapted' in each of the last three years?

RESPONSE

Effective monitoring of this was introduced in 2011. Prior to this it was not established so the information provided is from that date.

On rare occasions properties with low level adaptations (such as just a level-access shower) are let to general needs clients but all that we call fully adapted properties (with wheelchair access) go to households with a medical need for an adapted home.

Since October 2011, 19 low level adapted properties have been let to general needs clients; 14 of these were housing association properties and five council homes. There is a regional scheme for recording adapted properties called LAHR (London Accessible Housing Register). This was adopted by Southwark in October 2011. Southwark advertise adapted properties separately to our general needs homes and households themselves are given a separate code from general needs clients. There are three different codes for different property types from fully adapted with a lowered kitchen (when main household member is a wheelchair user), fully adapted with standard height kitchen (e.g. when the wheelchair user is a child and parents would undertake cooking) and where a property has some adaptations, such as a level access shower and stair lift and minimal steps to access. For those who use a wheelchair outside the home but have some mobility difficulties, we do our utmost to match clients to these specific property types based upon an assessment from Occupational Therapy or our own medical assessment service.

The council does not have a policy to 'un-adapt' properties because these properties are required for our most vulnerable residents and are generally in great demand. In the past, we have removed stair lifts and recycled them and have removed some external modular ramping where they are no longer needed, but this would not affect the rest of the adaptations in the property.

31. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES FROM COUNCILLOR ROSIE SHIMELL

The report on a strategy for primary expansion was due to come to cabinet several months ago according to the forward plan. The latest plan moves the decision again until July. What is the reason for the delay?

RESPONSE

The report was first proposed as an April decision on the forward plan. The report was subsequently deferred in order that the strategy and proposals could be effectively informed by the Department for Education funding announcement in March 2013 and the free school announcements towards the end of May 2013.

32. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID HUBBER

How much has the council received from the government in each of the last three years for permanent expansion places in primary schools? How much has the

council spent in each of the last three years and how much has the council carried over from year to year?

RESPONSE

	2010/11 (£ million)	2011/12 (£ million)	2012/13 (£ million)
Grant income	9.9	10.6	6.5
Capital spend	13.7	4.5	6.2
Carried forward	N/A	6.1	6.5

In addition we have committed £15 million to the expansion programme: £5 million in 2013/14 and £10 million in 2014/15.

There is however still likely to be a gap between the resources available and those required to meet the projected need for places. Officers will seek to source additional grant from the Department for Education. Should this not become available, or such additional grant is insufficient, any shortfall would need to be met from relevant section 106 or community infrastructure levy or through the council's own funding.

33. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES FROM COUNCILLOR WILMA NELSON

How many children have been excluded from each secondary school in Southwark in each of the last three years? What has been the reason recorded for their exclusion? How many students were sent home for disciplinary reasons in each month of the last three years?

RESPONSE

The number of children excluded by secondary school over the past three years is set below:

School	Exclusions – fixed term and permanent		
	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
Bacon's College	2	4	2
Globe Academy *	115	99	109
Harris Academy at Peckham	19	19	15
Harris Academy Bermondsey	3	4	4
Harris Boys' Academy East Dulwich	1	1	4
Harris Girls' Academy East Dulwich	8	41	40
Kingsdale School	2	0	0
Notre Dame School	2	0	1
Sacred Heart RC Secondary School	36	27	44
St Michael & All Angels CE Academy	91	84	31
St Michael's Catholic College	23	10	2
St Saviour's and St Olave's	2	1	2

	Exclusions – fixed term and permanent		
School	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
School			
The Charter School	38	28	22
The City of London Academy	5	13	8
The St Thomas the Apostle College	3	2	4
Walworth Academy	15	28	10
Total	365	361	298

The total number of exclusions by secondary school over the past three years is:

	Exclusions – fixed term and permanent		
Secondary school	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
Bacon's College	2	4	3
Globe Academy *	198	180	190
Harris Academy at Peckham	22	21	26
Harris Academy Bermondsey	3	5	13
Harris Boys' Academy East Dulwich	1	1	6
Harris Girls' Academy East Dulwich	14	70	67
Kingsdale School	2	0	0
Notre Dame School	2	0	1
Sacred Heart RC Secondary School	49	44	63
St Michael & All Angels CE Academy	138	142	62
St Michael's Catholic College	29	13	2
St Saviour's and St Olave's School	2	1	3
The Charter School	60	36	30
The City of London Academy	6	16	14
The St Thomas the Apostle College	3	2	5
Walworth Academy	17	31	11
Total	548	566	496

* All-through school, primary and secondary.

The reason for exclusion is set out below:

	2011/12		
Secondary school	Fixed	Permanent	All
Physical assault against a pupil	102	9	111
Physical assault against an adult	9	1	10
Verbal abuse/threatening behaviour against a pupil	36	16	52

Secondary school	2011/12		
	Fixed	Permanent	All
Verbal abuse/threatening behaviour against an adult	81	4	86
Bullying	9	0	9
Racist Abuse	2	0	2
Sexual misconduct	14	0	14
Drug & alcohol related	14	2	16
Damage	12	1	13
Theft	19	0	19
Persistent disruptive behaviour	154	8	162
Other	2	0	2
Total	454	41	496

All exclusions by month for each of the past three years is set out below:

Secondary school	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
January	36	57	66
February	57	52	49
March	114	96	80
April	28	31	30
May	71	51	69
June	55	44	24
July	34	35	31
September	14	49	31
October	43	52	15
November	53	69	47
December	43	30	54
Total	548	566	496

The schools maintain records of exclusions, and notify the local authority of each exclusion.

We are working closely with all schools and academies to explore alternatives to permanent exclusions. The managed move forum has established a process whereby schools can agree to transfer young people at risk of exclusion to a new school in cases where it is considered that a fresh start in an appropriate setting could be successful. We are also working closely with our pupil referral unit to develop a range of preventative services.

It should be noted it is also Ofsted's role to challenge academies on their level of exclusions through either inspection or the annual risk assessment.

34. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES FROM COUNCILLOR MARK GETTLESON

What is the number of children in care housed in private-run children's homes? What is the total cost to the council? What is the highest fee per child paid by the council to a private-run home?

RESPONSE

Number of children in private residential: 42
Annual expenditure: £5.85 million (2012/13)
Most expensive placement: £5,157 per week (disability placement).

35. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT AND RECYCLING FROM COUNCILLOR NICK STANTON

What is the cabinet member doing to make the junction of George Row and Bermondsey Wall West safer?

RESPONSE

Our key objective for making the council's residential roads safer, including through the introduction of 20mph limits on borough roads, extends to George Row and Bermondsey Wall West.

The junction of George Row and Bermondsey Wall West was included within the recently introduced 20mph zone in Riverside area which also includes a number of other measures including one way westbound traffic with a pedal cycle contra flow at Pottery Street and one way northbound traffic working with a pedal cycle contra-flow in Wilson Grove. These combined measures are designed to reduce rat running, provide improved cycle routes and control the speed of traffic.

36. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT AND RECYCLING FROM COUNCILLOR DENISE CAPSTICK

What consideration has the council given to give relief from parking permit charges to vehicles with very low emissions, further to the existing relief for hybrid or electric vehicles? Will the council consider coming into line with Transport for London (for Congestion Charges) and HMRC (for vehicle excise duty) by giving a permit discount to vehicles emitting 100g/km or less of CO₂?

RESPONSE

We are of course committed to promoting more sustainable transport and travel, which means reducing the levels of air pollution. Currently, drivers of hybrid or electric vehicles receive a discount of 75% for resident and business parking permits. We are keen to ensure that residents are spared additional costs that could be brought on by policy changes and earlier this year froze all parking charges for the next 12 months.

The introduction of forms of relief for very low emission vehicles would be a development on existing policy. Clearly the more greener vehicles we can encourage onto our streets as replacements for existing more polluting vehicles, the greater the reductions in CO₂ and other harmful emissions.

The council did undertake an initial consultation exercise through the community councils in May 2011 about CO2 based parking permits. Parking officers gave a presentation to each community council to gauge whether there was support for this to be instigated. At those meetings there was no clear support for this change and a number of objections were made. The decision was taken at the time not to pursue this to a full consultation of all permit holders.

Any change in policy in this area would need to be based on substantial evidence. As more data becomes available to support the effectiveness of introducing carbon based parking permit charging, we will consider whether there is a case to consult all residential permit holders on the introduction of such a scheme into this borough.

37. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT AND RECYCLING FROM COUNCILLOR JAMES BARBER

How many parking penalty charge notices (PCNs) have been issued in the borough in each of the past three years? How many of these PCNs have been written off because of failure to collect the fine, and what are the primary reasons for fines not being collected on PCNs?

RESPONSE

	Issued	Paid	% Paid	Written off	% Written off
2012-13	102,212	72,781	71.2%	238	0.2%
2011-12	98,747	69,555	70.4%	6,189	6.3%
2010-11	100,615	67,612	67.2%	12,471	12.4%
2009-10	121,724	80,730	66.3%	19,684	16.2%
2008-09	131,743	84,597	64.2%	20,131	15.3%

The council wants any PCNs which are issued to be done so appropriately and fairly. Since taking control of the council the proportion which is being paid has risen from 66.3% to 71.2%.

The primary reasons for fines not being collected once they have become a debt is that information provided by the DVLA to the council about who owned the vehicle proves to be incorrect. Broadly speaking the vehicle owner at the time of the contravention has either moved, never lived at the address or does not exist.

PCNs which are cancelled at the stages before they reach the bailiff are neither considered debt nor are they written off. Again, one of the main reasons for cancellations will be incorrect returns from the DVLA.

Parking services has been concentrating on ensuring that appeals made at the formal and informal stages to the council are dealt with quickly and that the public are re-offered the chance to pay at the discounted rate if their appeal is unsuccessful. Parking services has also been concentrating on the quality of formal adjudication packs which go in front of the parking adjudicator at the Parking and Traffic Appeals Service and our success rate has improved considerably over the last 5 years.

This hard work is reflected in the payment rates for PCNs which have improved in each of the last five years.

38. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT AND RECYCLING FROM COUNCILLOR LISA RAJAN

Will the cabinet member confirm that future paving repairs in Surrey Docks and Rotherhithe wards in areas with the brown-brick paving will, wherever possible, be replaced 'like with like', as agreed with the strategic director for environment and leisure in a site meeting on 7 May 2013 and in subsequent emails; thereby avoiding the use of tarmac that is eroding the character of the area?

RESPONSE

The council appreciates the importance of the character of the area and will look to options which preserve that. Unfortunately, the government continues to cut Southwark's budget and so we have to make hard choices about where to prioritise money. Therefore, sometimes the cost of replacing 'like with like' may become prohibitive. However, I can confirm that I have asked officers to work with local ward councillors to look across a range of solutions including cleaner greener safer bids.

39. QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING MANAGEMENT FROM COUNCILLOR DARREN MERRILL

Can the cabinet member confirm the numbers of properties lost from and added to the housing stock in 2012-13, and set out how that compares with previous years?

RESPONSE

The changes in the stock of council homes for 2012-13 are contained in Table 1 below.

For comparison, the data for a 10 year period is also included. There are a few points to note about the construction of the data:

- For each of the ten years, there has been a loss of stock; the summary part of the table shows the cumulative effect of the detailed columns to the right.
- There can be a net effect in the conversion and deconversion columns. If for example, three flats in a converted house have been deconverted, there will be an addition of one property in the conversion column, and three in the deconversion column.
- The buybacks column relates mainly to leasehold owned properties on regeneration estates. The buyback is a precursor to demolition, so is a temporary effect. The buyback and demolition do not necessarily occur in the same year.
- In the demolitions column, the units are entered in the year that they are removed from the housing stock, not necessarily when they are physically demolished

Year	SUMMARY				ADDITIONS (+)					REMOVALS (-)						
	Total Brought Forward	Total Carried Forward	Total Loss/Gain in Year	Cumulative Loss/Gain since 2002	New Build	Acquired	Conv	Buybacks	Total Additions	RTB Sales	Deconv	Demol	Dulwich Coll L/H	Amos Estate	Other Sales/Removals	Total Removals
02/03	47173	46055	-1118	-1118	78	3	3	1	85	975	5	110	59	0	54	1203
03/04	46055	44544	-1511	-2629	125	3	14	5	147	1458	18	152	12	0	18	1658
04/05	44544	42863	-1681	-4310	150	3	15	19	187	1742	8	93	0	0	25	1868
05/06	42863	41484	-1379	-5689	12	1	8	52	73	733	16	694	0	0	9	1452
06/07	41484	41026	-458	-6147	0	0	23	29	52	207	22	263	0	0	18	510
07/08	41026	40497	-529	-6676	1	0	33	28	62	180	0	359	0	3	49	591
08/09	40497	39827	-670	-7346	0	2	68	122	192	45	53	648	0	72	44	862
09/10	39827	39337	-490	-7836	0	0	35	82	117	23	15	434	0	59	76	607
10/11	39337	39062	-275	-8111	7	1	8	37	53	23	40	154	0	0	111	328
11/12	39062	38990	-72	-8183	3	0	18	17	38	24	0	30	0	0	56	110
12/13	38990	38787	-203	-8386	16	0	3	20	39	94	2	119	0	0	27	242
2002-2013	47173	38787	-8386		392	13	228	412	1045	5504	179	3056	71	134	487	9431

For 2012/13, one significant trend to note is the upturn in right to buy completions compared with the sales rates in recent years. This can be attributed to the increase in maximum discount for right to buy applications to £100,000. Most of removals from stock for demolition last year were on the Aylesbury Estate, as part of the ongoing regeneration scheme. Other sales, which are largely individual void properties, were less than in previous years; the sales continued to provide capital receipts for investment in the housing stock through warm, dry, safe and other programmes, but were supplemented last year by some larger land disposals in regeneration schemes.

40. QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING MANAGEMENT FROM COUNCILLOR ELIZA MANN

Why were Tupman House, Bardell House, Micawber House and Wade House on the Dickens Estate not prioritised for door entry systems even though they had more reports of crime and anti-social behaviour than other blocks which were put forward, particularly given that the issues had already been raised by ward councillors and the police? Will the cabinet member review the situation in these blocks given that residents are suffering break-ins, rough sleepers and vandalism and provide door entry systems?

RESPONSE

As part of a package of redirection measures cabinet approved a two year programme for door entry upgrades and renewals. A total of £726,000 per annum has been included in the base budget. The two year programme of works from 2013-2015 was also endorsed by area housing forums and tenant and home owners' councils.

However, the overall need across the borough for new door entry systems greatly outstrips the available funding. In the short-term the council has tried to strike a balance between installing complete new systems and renewing/upgrading existing systems. Unfortunately, there are a number of existing systems that are obsolete and if they fail they will not be able to be reinstated. These are a priority. Accordingly, there are 51 upgrades and 21 new systems planned over the next two years.

The new systems were identified and prioritised at a point in time, taking on board police support, anti-social behaviour, crime, and in some cases ward member support. There are other blocks that would benefit from door entry systems but with a finite budget available the programme had to start with an initial number of blocks.

Officers are aware of the more recent problems residents of these blocks have been experiencing and will arrange to meet with residents to fully understand their concerns and explore the options available to find a solution.

41. QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING MANAGEMENT FROM COUNCILLOR TIM MCNALLY

How many council tenants in Chaucer ward are currently in a state of overcrowding listed by bands 1-3 and what number of council tenants are currently under-occupying?

RESPONSE

Tenants may be registered as statutorily overcrowded (Band 1), overcrowded and in insanitary conditions (Band 2), or overcrowded and have a medical need (also Band 2) or overcrowded (Band 3).

The numbers for Chaucer ward are as follows:

Chaucer Ward, July 2013	
Band 1, statutorily overcrowded:	1
Band 2, overcrowded and in insanitary conditions	1
Band 2, overcrowded and in medical need	8
Band 3, overcrowded	103

The number of tenants registered as under-occupying in Chaucer ward is 15.

42. QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING MANAGEMENT FROM COUNCILLOR PODDY CLARK

How many council housing tenants have rejected offers to move to alternate council housing in each month in each of the last three years? What are the top three reasons given for refusal?

RESPONSE

The table below shows the number of refusals for each month for the last three years. The top reasons for refusing an offer are:

1. No response to offer/pre-allocation letter
2. Rooms too small
3. Condition of property
4. Personal reasons

Year	Month	Refusals
2010	July	362
2010	August	343
2010	September	310
2010	October	301
2010	November	216
2010	December	267
2011	January	224
2011	February	203
2011	March	287
2011	April	262
2011	May	277
2011	June	214
2011	July	259
2011	August	216
2011	September	210
2011	October	237

Year	Month	Refusals
2011	November	185
2011	December	132
2012	January	158
2012	February	141
2012	March	200
2012	April	188
2012	May	263
2012	June	182
2012	July	282
2012	August	304
2012	September	192
2012	October	283
2012	November	322
2012	December	248
2013	January	329
2013	February	176
2013	March	221
2013	April	285
2013	May	272
2013	June	238
2013	July	41
Total		8830

43. QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING MANAGEMENT FROM COUNCILLOR COLUMBA BLANGO

Why does the cabinet member believe only 35% of respondents in a recent satisfaction survey were satisfied with the council's housing complaint handling performance? What is he doing to make sure this performance improves over the next year?

RESPONSE

Improving satisfaction levels in any area is always challenging, as customers are already dissatisfied that they have had to make an initial complaint. However, we believe we can improve satisfaction in this area and the customer resolution team have identified this as a key priority for this year.

We are currently undertaking a benchmarking exercise against the highest performers, to learn from their experience. While most organisations also report very low satisfaction from complainants, some organisations do extremely well and we want to understand what they are doing differently.

From our work to date there are a number of areas we have identified that will improve customer's experience of making a complaint. We have found there is a gap between the complaint being logged and an officer from the service contacting the customer. Those logging complaints now also acknowledge them and where possible assign them directly to an officer, to speed up the process.

We have begun running regular training sessions for front line officers who respond to complaints to improve their complaint handling. Some of the points

customers have made in the surveys have been around basic customer service skills. The council is currently developing a programme of customer service training, but in the meantime the customer resolution team are also looking at introductory customer service training around the basics of customer care.

44. QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING MANAGEMENT FROM COUNCILLOR LEWIS ROBINSON

Would the deputy leader and cabinet member for housing management outline the steps taken by the council to promote the advantages to tenants arising from recent changes to the right to buy legislation?

RESPONSE

There are statutory provisions governing information to be given to tenants about the right to buy. The primary legislation was introduced in section 189 of the Housing Act 2004 with the detail contained in subsequent statutory instrument 2005/1735. Here is a link to the current webpage which reflects the booklet distributed to all council tenants in accordance with the legislative provisions:

http://www.southwark.gov.uk/downloads/download/345/buying_your_council_home

45. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, RESOURCES AND COMMUNITY SAFETY FROM COUNCILLOR PAUL KYRIACOU

What is the total amount of compensation paid out by the council in each of the past three years for personal injury, loss or damage? Please list the 10 largest payments and the reasons for these payments in each of the last three years.

RESPONSE

The total amount of compensation paid out for the last three years is set out below, along with a summary of the ten highest payments in each of those years. For comparison, I have also included the same details for the previous four years.

You will note that the total paid out peaked in 2009 and the trend since then has been downward. The total for the last full year, 2012, was 60% of the 2009 level.

	2006		2007		2008	
	Payment	Description	Payment	Description	Payment	Description
1	£ 200,812.85	SUBSIDENCE	£ 50,000.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL - EMPLOYEE EQUIPMENT FAILURE	£ 52,565.56	SUBSIDENCE
2	£ 28,000.00	HIGHWAY TRIP	£ 45,000.00	- EMPLOYEE	£ 42,500.00	SUBSIDENCE
3	£ 24,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 23,712.84	HIGHWAY TRIP	£ 40,000.00	SUBSIDENCE
4	£ 20,300.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 18,345.17	SUBSIDENCE	£ 37,000.00	SUBSIDENCE
5	£ 16,000.00	DISREPAIR	£ 17,500.00	INJURY IN A PARK	£ 35,000.00	SUBSIDENCE
6	£ 14,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 17,500.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 35,000.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL
7	£ 14,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 17,000.00	HIGHWAY TRIP	£ 34,000.00	SUBSIDENCE
8	£ 13,250.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 16,500.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL	£ 33,250.00	SUBSIDENCE
9	£ 10,250.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 14,550.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 19,500.00	SUBSIDENCE
10	£ 10,100.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 13,500.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 18,250.00	SUBSIDENCE
Total	£ 826,538.29		£ 811,384.54		£ 1,184,233.61	

	2009		2010		2011	
	Payment	Description	Payment	Description	Payment	Description
1	£ 65,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 105,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 32,000.00	SUBSIDENCE
2	£ 50,000.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL - EMPLOYEE PERSONAL INJURY -	£ 85,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 29,000.00	SUBSIDENCE
3	£ 37,528.03	EMPLOYEE	£ 85,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 26,250.00	SUBSIDENCE
4	£ 34,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 51,250.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 24,000.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL
5	£ 31,500.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 40,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 23,500.00	SUBSIDENCE
6	£ 29,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 30,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 18,000.00	SUBSIDENCE
7	£ 26,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 30,000.00	PERSONAL INJURY - EMPLOYEE	£ 15,000.00	PERSONAL INJURY - EMPLOYEE

		2009		2010		2011	
	Payment	Description	Payment	Description	Payment	Description	DISREPAIR/PERSONAL
8	£ 25,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 25,000.00	HIGHWAY TRIP	£ 14,000.00	INJURY	
9	£ 25,000.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL	£ 22,000.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL	£ 13,500.00	SUBSIDENCE	
10	£ 24,750.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 20,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 13,000.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL	
Total	£ 1,459,905.08		£ 1,199,019.45		£ 723,138.60		

		2012		2013 to 5th July	
	Payment	Description	Payment	Description	
1	£ 28,000.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL	£ 17,250.00	DISREPAIR	
2	£ 27,800.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 14,180.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL	
3	£ 25,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 14,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	
4	£ 24,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 12,836.00	SLIP/TRIP/FALL	
5	£ 24,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 12,500.00	DISREPAIR	
6	£ 21,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 12,500.00	SUBSIDENCE	
		PERSONAL INJURY -			
7	£ 20,000.00	EMPLOYEE	£ 11,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	
8	£ 20,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 9,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	
9	£ 19,900.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 8,300.00	SUBSIDENCE	
10	£ 19,000.00	SUBSIDENCE	£ 8,205.00	INJURY IN A PARK	
Total	£ 882,278.89		£ 279,199.57		

46. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, RESOURCES AND COMMUNITY SAFETY FROM COUNCILLOR ROBIN CROOKSHANK HILTON

Will the cabinet member provide a full list of every police constable, sergeant, inspector, chief inspector listed by ward and cluster, including their public contact details and their police base?

RESPONSE

Defining and providing the public with detail of the neighbourhood policing offer is a matter for the police. There are, however, details currently available on the Metropolitan Police Service website which details the individual inspector, sergeant, dedicated police constable and police community support officer for each ward along with contact details. This can be found at the link below:

http://content.met.police.uk/Page/TeamFinder?scope_id=1257246764302

47. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, RESOURCES AND COMMUNITY SAFETY FROM COUNCILLOR TOBY ECKERSLEY

Would the cabinet member for finance, resources and community safety outline the steps the council is taking to reduce any need for a council tax increase in the near future, and to learn from good practice shown by low-tax London boroughs, with particular reference to shared services and the tri-borough initiative of Westminster, Kensington & Chelsea, and Hammersmith & Fulham?

RESPONSE

This administration has ensured that there have been no council tax increases since it took power, and aim to repeat this in 2014. We are therefore the only administration in Southwark's history not to increase council tax. We have therefore demonstrated that we will do all we can to reduce the need for increases in council tax.

Clearly the largest pressure on Southwark to increase council tax comes from the coalition government, which has chosen to cut local government more heavily than other parts of the public sector and has unfairly decided that councils with higher levels of deprivation, such as Southwark, should face far greater cuts in spending than more affluent areas: between 2010 and 2014, Southwark will have lost £249 per head compared to only £15 per head in Epsom and Ewell. So the most important thing we can all do to reduce the need for increases in council tax here in Southwark is to campaign for a Labour government in 2015.

On the specifics of shared services and cross-borough working, we have been working with neighbouring boroughs to explore those opportunities that might be available to us. To date, the shared service arrangements that we have entered into include:

- Introducing the Southwark and Lambeth barristers framework
- Establishing communications, shared with Westminster, as a social enterprise

- Sharing the new public health service with Lambeth, with a single director for the shared service

We are continuing discussions with Lambeth and Lewisham in particular to look at closer working on services, in particular those where a single council “salami-slice” approach to cuts could critically weaken expertise and cross-borough working would add resilience. The leader has asked Councillor Colley and I to take these discussions forward.

On the tri-borough initiative, this joint work between Westminster, Kensington & Chelsea and Hammersmith & Fulham councils is envisaged to save £33.4 million by 2014/15. It should be noted that since 2010, Southwark has already saved £43.3 million through efficiency savings (56% of the total savings made) and we understand that the savings recorded for the tri-borough initiative could also include similar efficiency savings. The jury is therefore still out on the scale of savings that tri-borough working will realise. Nevertheless, we will continue to watch their work with interest to see if there are further opportunities for efficiencies we should learn from.

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY**(ORDINARY MEETING)****WEDNESDAY 10 JULY 2013****QUESTIONS ON REPORTS****ITEM 7.1: FAIRER FUTURE ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2012/13****1. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR ROSIE SHIMELL**

The council has an objective to increase the percentage of children getting one of their preferences for a primary school. Why does the council not have a similar objective for secondary school children given that its performance in offering children their first preference is among the worst in the country?

RESPONSE

The highest ever number of Southwark secondary school pupils have received a place in one of their preferred choices for September 2013, with 93 per cent of pupils offered a place in one of their six preferred schools. Of these, 85 per cent received a place in one of their top three choices with 58.7 per cent getting their top choice.

Once again, all 2,500 pupils that applied have been allocated a school place.

Though primary preference is a priority in terms of children living less than two miles from their primary school, at secondary school age distance becomes a less critical issue for many families with older children who are better able to travel and cover longer journeys.

Even where a target is included within the council plan to increase the proportion of pupils obtaining their preference of secondary school, it would be extremely difficult to directly influence this measure in the short term. It is not possible for the council to expand oversubscribed secondary schools, as no secondary schools are controlled by the local authority. This would make the issue of target setting and performance management of the target a risk area.

It should also be noted in this context that many children's secondary choices are for out of borough schools, and, many out of borough children also apply for Southwark schools, further reducing local authority influence on this measure.

2. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR GRAHAM NEALE

Can the Leader provide a breakdown of the assessment of public satisfaction with leisure centres by leisure centre and by satisfaction rating? How many users were surveyed at each of the leisure centres?

RESPONSE

Public satisfaction with the borough's leisure centres is measured through the Council's reputation tracker survey by asking the people who were surveyed who said that they were users of our leisure centres.

The tracker survey does not ask for the specific facilities used, so there is no means of splitting results in this way

3. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR PODDY CLARK

How many residents from each community council area were sent satisfaction surveys in 2012/13? What were the criteria for selecting the residents to be surveyed? What satisfaction results were recorded in each community council area?

RESPONSE

The numbers sent questionnaires were as follows:

Bermondsey & Rotherhithe	550
Borough Bankside & Walworth	900
Peckham & Nunhead	550
Camberwell	236
Dulwich	470

Questionnaires were sent to all residents who had given council officers their contact details in each community council area and consented to us sending them further information about community councils. There was no selection applied to this; they all received a copy.

Community council	Number of responses
Camberwell	34
Borough, Bankside and Walworth	39
Dulwich	51
Peckham and Nunhead	24
Bermondsey and Rotherhithe	42

Community council 2012-13 Survey Summary

Q2. Please tell us how much you think the Community Councils are fulfilling the following roles:	Extent of agreement	C %	BBW %	D %	PN %	BR %
To promote the involvement of local people in the democratic process and to bring decision making closer to local people	Definitely	44%	15%	41%	25%	57%
	Mainly	18%	44%	35%	54%	19%
	A little	15%	26%	22%	21%	14%
	Not at all	18%	5%	0%	0%	10%
	No response	6%	10%	2%	0%	0%
To take decisions about local matters e.g. the cleaner, greener, safer capital and revenue programmes, community council fund, traffic management and community project banks.	Definitely	32%	31%	41%	54%	55%
	Mainly	32%	31%	35%	42%	26%
	A little	21%	18%	16%	4%	14%
	Not at all	9%	5%	6%	0%	5%
	No response	6%	15%	2%	0%	0%
To act as a formal consultation mechanism on council wide policies and strategies.	Definitely	21%	28%	29%	33%	31%
	Mainly	35%	21%	47%	29%	36%
	A little	21%	28%	22%	33%	19%
	Not at all	15%	10%	2%	4%	14%
	No response	9%	13%	0%	0%	0%
To be a focal point for discussion and consultation on matters that affect the area.	Definitely	21%	26%	45%	42%	45%
	Mainly	35%	23%	39%	38%	29%
	A little	21%	31%	10%	17%	14%
	Not at all	15%	8%	4%	4%	12%
	No response	9%	13%	2%	0%	0%
Q3. Please indicate how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements:	Extent of agreement	C %	BBW %	D %	PN %	BR %
I feel that, through the Community Council, I am able to influence decisions made about my area	Definitely	11.76%	10%	12%	8%	26%
	Mainly	8.82%	18%	16%	33%	21%
	A little	38.2%	36%	57%	38%	29%
	Not at all	32.35%	21%	14%	8%	14%
	No response	8.82%	15%	2%	13%	10%
The Community Council allows me to learn more about my area	Definitely	38.2%	31%	45%	42%	60%

	Mainly	23.5%	31%	29%	42%	17%
	A little	29.4%	26%	20%	4%	7%
	Not at all	2.94%	0%	2%	0%	7%
	No response	5.88%	13%	4%	13%	10%
The Community Council allows me to learn more about what the council is doing in my area	Definitely	29.4%	28%	41%	42%	50%
	Mainly	26.47%	44%	35%	38%	17%
	A little	29.4%	13%	18%	13%	19%
	Not at all	8.82%	0%	2%	0%	5%
The Community Council helps the local area to be a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together	No response	5.88%	15%	4%	8%	10%
	Definitely	11.76%	18%	14%	21%	21%
	Mainly	29.4%	26%	25%	38%	29%
	A little	26.47%	33%	45%	29%	26%
	Not at all	23.5%	3%	10%	4%	12%
	No response	8.82	21%	6%	8%	12%
	Q4. Please indicate what you most value about Community Councils by ticking below as appropriate:	C %	BBW %	D %	PN %	BR %
	Finding out what happens in my area	65%	56%	73%	79%	74%
Contact with councillors	68%	44%	63%	54%	45%	
Contact with officers	38%	28%	35%	46%	26%	
Contact with other residents	47%	41%	24%	25%	40%	
Influencing decisions	24%	33%	39%	25%	24%	
Consultations	32%	36%	25%	29%	12%	

4. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR MICHAEL BUKOLA

Why did the 2012/13 target for warm, dry and safe change from the £68m predicted in the council's annual performance report in 2011/12 to £61.8m in the latest council's annual performance report?

RESPONSE

The £68 million from the council plan annual performance report 2011/12 was a provisional target (provisional as 2011/12 spend was being finalised). The target was set at £63 million (£61.8 million excluding Leathermarket).

5. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR WILMA NELSON

How many complaints have been received about leaseholder billing in 2012/13?

RESPONSE

It can be challenging to categorise complaints as leaseholders will often complain about several matters, which may be unrelated. However of the 195 complaints received by Specialist Housing Services, around 126 were about billing. The Revenue & Income and Service Charge Construction teams are responsible for major works and service charges and this is the main subject of the complaints they receive, often combined with service delivery issues.

Specialist Housing Services	Apr '12	May '12	Jun '12	Jul '12	Aug '12	Sep '12	Oct '12	Nov '12	Dec '12	Jan '13	Feb '13	Mar '13	Total
Home Ownership & TMI	1	1						1			1	3	7
Revenue & Income	13	11	2	5	8	2	23	14	12	19	7	9	125
Sales and Acquisitions			1	1	2		1					2	7
Service Charge Construction	5	3	4	5	3	3	6	6	3	4	2	4	48
Tenant Management Initiatives	1	2	1	1	1						1	1	8
	20	17	8	12	14	5	30	21	15	23	11	19	195

6. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR TIM MCNALLY

Why has the council reduced the target for the number of empty private homes brought back into use from 135 in 2012/13 to 100 in 2013/14?

RESPONSE

The cost of doing extensive improvements to private empty homes is becoming too expensive for independent freeholders to do.

Whilst the council provides grants, there is a ceiling on the maximum amount that an owner can apply for, and with the rises in building materials and associated charges outstripping the grants available.

As a result it is proving much harder to bring empty homes back into serviceable use. As a result the target was reduced to a more realistic level to reflect these pressures

7. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI

Please provide a full breakdown of results from the council's reputation tracker on satisfaction among residents regarding ability to influence decisions affecting their local area.


RESPONSE

The graph below shows those who agree or disagree that they can influence decisions affecting their local area.

As the graph shows, the proportion of residents agreeing that they can influence decisions has been broadly consistent over time at around the 50% mark. The most recent result in February 2013 saw a lower proportion of residents agreeing and so we are awaiting the next tracker result to see if this is part of a new pattern or a one off set against the overall trend.

It is possible that residents' views may have been influenced by the headline changes to welfare that were prevalent in the media at the time of the survey – namely those about or affecting: bedroom tax, council tax benefit passing to local control, the scrapping of Disability Living Allowance and the welfare benefit cap

Agreement that can influence decisions affecting local area



Question: Q4. Do you agree or disagree that you can influence decisions affecting your local area?

Source: c.400 Southwark residents, aged 16 +, interviewed face to face, between September 2009 – February 2013

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY

(ORDINARY MEETING)

WEDNESDAY 10 JULY 2013

QUESTION ON REPORT

ITEM 8.1: GAMBLING ACT 2005 – SOUTHWARK STATEMENT OF GAMBLING LICENSING POLICY 2013-2016

1. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR CATHERINE BOWMAN

Does the council agree that local residents should have more say over the types of shops on their local high streets?

RESPONSE

Yes. However, the recent changes to legislation by the coalition government are weakening the opportunity to address any issues on our high streets.

For instance the changes to the general permitted development order in May 2013 now allow a range of buildings to convert temporarily to a set of alternative uses including betting shops, payday loan shops and takeaways without needing planning permission.

The government is also currently considering allowing shops to be turned into flats without requiring planning permission. This would undermine borough policies to regenerate town centres and high streets. It could also potentially raise retail rents (and therefore costs) as well as creating high streets and shopping parades that have fewer shops and therefore lower footfall, undermining the viability of those shop units that remain. This could sound the death knell on many of our smaller shopping parades because residential development is generally far more profitable for landlords than the yields from commercial floorspace.

We will do everything we can to oppose this policy to ensure that appropriate and effective safeguards are in kept in place to protect local economic activity and the scope of local decision making. I hope that members of all parties will join us in opposing the government in this forthcoming consultation.

There is also the issue of clustering of betting shops on our high streets, and to some extent, pay day loan shops and pawn brokers. These types of businesses are in the same use class as banks, building societies, bureau de change, professional services, estate agents and employment agencies i.e. A2 (financial services). Changes between uses in the A2 use class do not require planning permission. Legislation allows a change of use from restaurants and cafes (use class A3), drinking establishments (Use Class A4) and hot food takeaways (use class A5) to a use in the A2 use class without requiring planning permission. In September 2012, the government consulted on changes to the use classes order. In response to the consultation the council requested that betting shops, pay day loan shops and pawn brokers are re-classified as 'sui-generis' use which would require a separate planning

application to be made for the use. We understand that the government is not proposing to make any changes to the legislation in this respect.

Given these recent actions by the government I can announce today that I am asking the planning committee to introduce an Article 4 Direction with immediate effect to remove permitted development rights for the change of use from hot food takeaways (A5), drinking establishments (A4) and restaurants and cafes (A3) to a use in the A2 use class.

The immediate Article 4 Direction should be applied to all of the borough's protected shopping frontages. These are set out in the schedule of shopping frontages which accompany the adopted policies map (2012).

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY

(ORDINARY MEETING)

WEDNESDAY 10 JULY 2013

QUESTION ON REPORT

ITEM 8.3: CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW 2013/14

1. QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI

Will the Leader guarantee that members' arrangements to visit front-line services will not be obstructed by chief officers?

RESPONSE

There are no plans to prevent members visiting front line services. The rules around these are clearly set out in the member and officer protocol.

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY**(ORDINARY)****WEDNESDAY 10 JULY 2013****REVISED AMENDMENT F**

ITEM 5.2 – MEMBERS’ MOTIONS: MOTION 4 – DOOR ENTRY FOR THE DICKENS ESTATE (see page 9 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT F

Moved: Councillor Ian Wingfield
Seconded: Councillor Mark Williams

After paragraph 2 **insert** new paragraph:

“Council notes that, as is often the case, while new security works are being installed on one block, ASB does not remain static and will travel from block to block. This is evidenced by the request in 2011 from the then opposition spokesperson for housing for new security intercom systems for Burton House, claiming this was the priority for the area.”

Delete paragraph 3.

Insert new paragraphs:

“Council recognises that since this request was made in 2011, incidences of crime and ASB have risen at Tupman House, Bardell House, Micawber House and Wade House.”

“Council therefore welcomes the commitment made by the cabinet member for housing to meet with residents of the estate to discuss their priorities for security works on the estate.”

Paragraph 4 **delete** "Council calls on the cabinet..." and **insert** "Council also welcomes the commitment by the cabinet member for housing..." and **add** at the end "...once those discussions with residents have taken place".